

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

MMERCE D

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/626,224	07/24/2003	William O. Camp JR.	9314-45	4546
	7590 10/04/2007 L SIBLEY & SAJOVEC, 1	P.A.	EXAM	INER
P.O. BOX 3742	28	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	YUN, E	JGENE
RALEIGH, NO	27627		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2618	
				······································
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/04/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<del></del>					
		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/626,224	CAMP, WILLIAM O.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Eugene Yun	2618		
Period fo	<ul> <li>The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply</li> </ul>	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ware to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from . cause the application to become ARANDONE	N. nely filed  the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. & 133)		
Status	(4)				
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 Au</u>	uaust 2007	•		
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar		osecution as to the merits is		
	closed in accordance with the practice under E				
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-3,7-16,19-24 and 26-29 is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-3,7-16,19-24 and 26-29 is/are reject Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
9)□ 10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on 24 July 2003 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)[_] a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage		
Attachmen	• •				
2)	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	ete		

Art Unit: 2618

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 7-11, 15, 16, 19-23, and 27-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rasmusson (WO 00/74350) in view of El-Maleh et al. (US 7,023,880).

Referring to Claim 1, Rasmusson teaches a wireless terminal, comprising; a short-range communication module that is configured to communicate first information over a short-range wireless interface with a communication device (see communication between 201 and 203 in fig. 2);

a cellular transceiver that is configured to communicate second information with a cellular network according to a cellular communication protocol (see 225 and 227 of fig. 2); and

a short range communication module (see pg. 15, lines 3-10).

Art Unit: 2618

Rasmusson does not teach a processor that is configured to encode voice in the second information using at least one of an Enhanced Full Rate (EFR) codec and an Adaptive Multi-Rate (AMR) codec for transmission by the cellular transceiver according to a signal processing operation, and is configured to selectively encode voice in the first information using at least one of the EFR codec and the AMR codec for communication by the communication module using the signal processing operation based on whether the communication device supports an enhanced communication mode.

El-Maleh teaches a processor that is configured to encode voice in the second information using at least one of an Enhanced Full Rate (EFR) codec and an Adaptive Multi-Rate (AMR) codec for transmission by the cellular transceiver according to a signal processing operation (see col. 5, lines 30-44), and is configured to selectively encode voice in the first information using at least one of the EFR codec and the AMR codec for communication by the communication module using the signal processing operation based on whether the communication device supports an enhanced communication mode (see col. 8, line 62 to col. 9, line 21). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teachings of El-Maleh to said device of Rasmusson in order to improve the voice quality in variable rate communications.

Referring to Claim 3, Rasmusson teaches a wireless terminal, comprising:

Art Unit: 2618

a Bluetooth module that is configured to communicate first information with a remote Bluetooth device (see communication between 201 and 203 in fig. 2 and pg. 14, line 30 to pg. 15, line 10);

a cellular transceiver that is configured to communicate second information with a cellular network according to a cellular communication protocol (see 225 and 227 of fig. 2); and

a Bluetooth module (see pg. 15, lines 3-10).

Rasmusson does not teach a processor that is configured to encode voice in the second information using at least one of an Enhanced Full Rate (EFR) codec and an Adaptive Multi-Rate (AMR) codec for transmission by the cellular transceiver, and to selectively encode voice in the first information using at least one of the EFR codec and the AMR codec for communication by the module based on whether the remote device supports an enhanced communication. El-Maleh teaches a processor that is configured to encode voice in the second information using at least one of an Enhanced Full Rate (EFR) codec and an Adaptive Multi-Rate (AMR) codec for transmission by the cellular transceiver (see col. 5, lines 30-44), and to selectively encode voice in the first information using at least one of the EFR codec and the AMR codec for communication by the module based on whether the remote device supports an enhanced communication (see col. 8, line 62 to col. 9, line 21). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teachings of El-Maleh to said device of Rasmusson in order to improve the voice quality in variable rate communications.

Art Unit: 2618

Referring to Claim 15, Rasmusson teaches a method of operating a wireless terminal, comprising:

determining whether a remote Bluetooth device supports an enhanced communication mode (see pg. 14, line 30 to pg. 15, line 10); and

communicating first information to a remote Bluetooth Device (see pg. 15, lines 11-32).

Rasmusson does not teach selectively encoding voice in first information using at least one of an Enhanced Full Rate (EFR) codec and an Adaptive Multi-Rate (AMR) codec according to a signal processing operation for communication to the remote device based on whether the remote device supports an enhanced communication mode. El-Maleh teaches selectively encoding voice (see col. 8, line 62 to col. 9, line 21) in first information using at least one of an Enhanced Full Rate (EFR) codec and an Adaptive Multi-Rate (AMR) codec according to a signal processing operation for communication to the remote device based on whether the remote device supports an enhanced communication mode (see col. 5, lines 30-44). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teachings of El-Maleh to said device of Rasmusson in order to improve the voice quality in variable rate communications.

Referring to Claim 2, Rasmusson also teaches the short-range communication module is configured to communicate the first information according to a Bluetooth communication protocol (see pg. 14, line 30 to pg. 15, line 10).

Art Unit: 2618

Referring to Claim 16, El-Maleh also teaches encoding voice in second information using at least one of the EPR codec and the AMR codec according to the signal processing operation for transmission to a cellular network (see col. 5, lines 30-44).

Referring to Claims 7 and 19, Rasmusson also teaches the first information comprising audio information, and canceling echo in the audio information using a signal processing operation (see pg. 17, lines 28-31).

Referring to Claims 8 and 20, Rasmusson also teaches the first information comprising audio information, and reducing noise in the audio information using a signal processing operation (see pg. 16, lines 27-30).

Referring to Claim 9, Rasmusson teaches a wireless terminal, comprising:

a Bluetooth module that is configured to communicate first information with a remote Bluetooth device (see communication between 201 and 203 in fig. 2 and pg. 14, line 30 to pg. 15, line 10);

a cellular transceiver that is configured to communicate second information with a cellular network according to a cellular communication protocol (see 225 and 227 of fig. 2).

Rasmusson does not teach a processor that is configured to convolutionally encode the second information fro transmission by the cellular transceiver according to a signal processing operation, and to selectively convolutionally encode the first information according to the signal processing operation for communication by the module based on whether the remote device supports an enhanced communication mode. El-Maleh teaches a processor that

Art Unit: 2618

is configured to convolutionally encode the second information fro transmission by the cellular transceiver according to a signal processing operation, and to selectively convolutionally encode the first information according to the signal processing operation for communication by the module based on whether the remote device supports an enhanced communication mode (see col. 9, lines 6-21). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teachings of El-Maleh to said device of Rasmusson in order to improve the voice quality in variable rate communications.

Referring to Claim 10, Rasmusson teaches a wireless terminal, comprising:

a Bluetooth module that is configured to communicate first information with a remote Bluetooth device (see communication between 201 and 203 in fig. 2 and pg. 14, line 30 to pg. 15, line 10);

a cellular transceiver that is configured to communicate second information with a cellular network according to a cellular communication protocol (see 225 and 227 of fig. 2).

Rasmusson does not teach a processor that is configured to interleave the second information fro transmission by the cellular transceiver according to a signal processing operation, and to selectively interleave the first information according to the signal processing operation for communication by the module based on whether the remote device supports an enhanced communication mode. El-Maleh teaches a processor that is configured to interleave the second

Art Unit: 2618

information fro transmission by the cellular transceiver according to a signal processing operation, and to selectively interleave the first information according to the signal processing operation for communication by the module based on whether the remote device supports an enhanced communication mode (see col. 7, lines 53-67). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teachings of El-Maleh to said device of Rasmusson in order to improve the voice quality in variable rate communications.

Referring to Claim 11, Rasmusson teaches a wireless terminal, comprising:

a Bluetooth module that is configured to communicate first information with a remote Bluetooth device (see communication between 201 and 203 in fig. 2 and pg. 14, line 30 to pg. 15, line 10);

a cellular transceiver that is configured to communicate second information with a cellular network according to a cellular communication protocol (see 225 and 227 of fig. 2).

Rasmusson does not teach a processor that is configured to encode the second information for transmission by the cellular transceiver using a signal processing operation, and configured to selectively encode the first information according to the signal processing operation for transmission by the module based on whether the remote device supports an enhanced communication mode, wherein the processor is configured to selectively encode the first information by selectively embedding control data in the first information based

Art Unit: 2618

on whether the remote device supports an enhanced communication mode. El-Maleh teaches a processor that is configured to encode the second information for transmission by the cellular transceiver using a signal processing operation, and configured to selectively encode the first information according to the signal processing operation for transmission by the module based on whether the remote device supports an enhanced communication mode (see col. 8, line 62 to col. 9, line 21), wherein the processor is configured to selectively encode the first information by selectively embedding control data in the first information based on whether the remote device supports an enhanced communication mode (see col. 12, lines 49-59). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teachings of El-Maleh to said device of Rasmusson in order to improve the voice quality in variable rate communications.

Referring to Claim 21, Rasmusson teaches a method of operating a wireless terminal, comprising:

Determining whether a remote Bluetooth device supports an enhanced communication mode (see communication between 201 and 203 in fig. 2 and pg. 14, line 30 to pg. 15, line 10); and

Communicating first information to a remote Bluetooth device (see pg. 5, lines 11-32).

Rasmusson does not teach selectively convolutionally coding first information for communication to the remote device based on whether the remote device supports an enhanced communication mode. El-Maleh teaches

Art Unit: 2618

selectively convolutionally coding first information for communication to the remote device based on whether the remote device supports an enhanced communication mode (see col. 9, lines 6-21). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teachings of El-Maleh to said device of Rasmusson in order to improve the voice quality in variable rate communications.

Referring to Claim 22, Rasmusson teaches a method of operating a wireless terminal, comprising:

Determining whether a remote Bluetooth device supports an enhanced communication mode (see communication between 201 and 203 in fig. 2 and pg. 14, line 30 to pg. 15, line 10); and

Communicating first information to a remote Bluetooth device (see pg. 5, lines 11-32).

Rasmusson does not teach selectively interleaving first information for communication to the remote device based on whether the remote device supports an enhanced communication mode. El-Maleh teaches selectively interleaving first information for communication to the remote device based on whether the remote device supports an enhanced communication mode (see col. 7, lines 53-67). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teachings of El-Maleh to said device of Rasmusson in order to improve the voice quality in variable rate communications.

Art Unit: 2618

Referring to Claim 23, Rasmusson teaches a method of operating a wireless terminal, comprising:

Determining whether a remote Bluetooth device supports an enhanced communication mode (see communication between 201 and 203 in fig. 2 and pg. 14, line 30 to pg. 15, line 10); and

Communicating first information to a remote Bluetooth device (see pg. 5, lines 11-32).

Rasmusson does not teach selectively embedding control data in first information for communication to the remote device based on whether the remote device supports an enhanced communication mode. El-Maleh teaches selectively embedding control data in first information for communication to the remote device based on whether the remote device supports an enhanced communication mode (see col. 12, lines 49-59). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teachings of El-Maleh to said device of Rasmusson in order to improve the voice quality in variable rate communications.

Referring to Claim 27, El-Maleh also teaches a processor that is configured to convolutionally encode the second information fro transmission by the cellular transceiver according to a signal processing operation, and to selectively convolutionally encode the first information according to the signal processing operation for communication by the Bluetooth module based on whether the remote Bluetooth device supports an enhanced communication mode (see col. 9, lines 6-21).

Art Unit: 2618

Referring to Claim 28, El-Maleh also teaches a processor that is configured to interleave the second information fro transmission by the cellular transceiver according to a signal processing operation, and to selectively interleave the first information according to the signal processing operation for communication by the Bluetooth module based on whether the remote Bluetooth device supports an enhanced communication mode (see col. 7, lines 53-67).

Referring to Claim 29, El-Maleh also teaches selectively encoding the first information by selectively embedding control data in the first information based on whether the remote Bluetooth device supports an enhanced communication mode (see col. 12, lines 49-59).

4. Claims 12-14, 24, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rasmusson and El-Maleh and further in view of Kim (US 2002/0065045).

Referring to Claims 12 and 24, the combination of Rasmusson and El-Maleh does not teach the remote Bluetooth device comprising a cordless telephone base station that is configured to be connected to a public switched telephone network (PSTN) 60 (fig. 2), and wherein the processor is configured to communicate through the Bluetooth module with the cordless telephone base station. Kim teaches the remote Bluetooth device comprising a cordless telephone base station that is configured to be connected to a public switched telephone network (PSTN), and wherein the processor is configured to communicate through the Bluetooth module with the cordless telephone base

Application/Control Number: 10/626,224 Page 13

Art Unit: 2618

station (see paragraph [0023]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teachings of Kim to the modified device of Rasmusson and El-Maleh in order to better enhance the quality of short range communications.

Referring to Claim 13, Rasmusson also teaches the processor is configured to selectively embed control data in the first information based on whether the remote Bluetooth device supports an enhanced communication mode, and wherein the control data comprises a command to control operation of the cordless telephone base station (see pg. 15, lines 11-32).

Referring to Claim 14, Kim also teaches the control data instructs the cordless telephone base station to terminate a call on the PSTN (see paragraph [0023]).

Referring to Claim 26, El-Maleh also teaches the control data selectively embedding in the first information comprising a command to control operation of the cordless telephone base station (see col. 12, lines 49-59).

# Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3, 7-16, 19-24, and 26-29 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

Art Unit: 2618

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eugene Yun whose telephone number is (571) 272-7860. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew D. Anderson can be reached on (571)272-4177. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Eugene Yun Examiner Art Unit 2618